1 2

3

5

6

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Notice of Deposition 10-CV-5596 (ADS) (ETB)

NICHOLAS BERNHARD, RALPH NATALE, KIRK CONAWAY and ROY KOHN, as TRUSTEES OF THE HEALTH FUND 917 AND THE LOCAL 917 PENSION FUND; HEALTH FUND 917 AND THE LOCAL 917 PENSION FUND,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

CENTRAL PARKING SYSTEM OF NEW YORK INC., and JOHN DOE,

Defendants.

360 West 31st Street New York, New York

October 28, 2011 11:10 a.m.

EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of CENTRAL PARKING SYSTEM OF NEW YORK INC., BY SONYA MITCHELL, one of the Defendants herein, taken by the Plaintiffs, held at the above-mentioned time and place before Anthony Giarro, a Notary Public of the State of New York.

9

10

11

12

13

15

116

Page 1 SONYA MITCHELL	r ago 40
2 A We may have some managers	SONYA MITCHELL
3 that we have made contributions for.	2 there were people who might be called
4 They're really not managers. They're	3 assistant managers and yet they were
5 foremen.	4 foremen?
	5 A Yes.
6 Q What are their job titles? 7 A Foremen.	6 Q Would documents provided to
	7 the auditors have identified them as
mining made uni	8 assistant managers?
9 contributions for people who are	9 A Possibly.
10 employees whose job titles are manager? 11 A No.	10 Q What documents would
1	11 identify them as foremen, not assistant
12 Q Has Central Parking made any	12 managers?
13 contributions for any employee whose job	13 A Our computer-generated
14 title is assistant manager?	14 documents would identify them as foremen
15 A Not assistant manager in my	15 because that's what they are in the
16 system, no. They would also be listed	16 system.
17 as some would also be listed as	17 Q Are foremen covered by the
18 foremen.	18 collective bargaining agreement?
19 Q Why would an assistant	19 A Yes.
20 manager be also listed as a foreman?	20 Q Are assistant managers
21 A My system says foreman, but	21 covered by the collective bargaining
22 some of them may be called an assistant	22 agreement?
23 manager.	23 A No.
Q Where would they be called	24 Q If you have a document that
25 an assistant manager?	25 identifies them as foremen and assistant
Page 35	Page 41
1 SONYA MITCHELL	1 SONYA MITCHELL
2 A Just among themselves or	2 managers, how would you differentiate
3 within the field, in operations.	3 whether they were covered by the
4 Q There were documents given	4 collective bargaining agreement?
5 to the auditors in order to perform this	5 A Whatever is in our system.
6 audit; that's correct, yes?	6 Q Your system is a
7 A Yes.	7 computerized system?
8 Q Did any of those documents	8 A Yes, it is.
9 do note employees as being managers?	9 Q Were printouts from the
10 A Yes. They asked for a list	10 computerized system ever provided to the
11 of managers.	11 auditors?
12 Q Were there any payroll or	12 A I'm sure there were, yes.
13 database documents that identified any of	13 Original data came from our system.
14 these employees as being managers?	14 Q If I'm recalling correctly,
15 A No.	15 you said that Central Parking has paid no
6 Q Were there any documents	16 contributions for anybody who's a
7 given to the auditors while they were	17 manager?
	18 A Anybody who was excluded
8 performing their audit that identified	
<ul><li>8 performing their audit that identified</li><li>9 employees as foremen?</li></ul>	
8 performing their audit that identified	19 from the collective bargaining agreement.
8 performing their audit that identified 9 employees as foremen? 0 A Possibly.	<ul><li>19 from the collective bargaining agreement.</li><li>20 Q That's not my question. Has</li></ul>
8 performing their audit that identified 9 employees as foremen? 0 A Possibly. 1 Q Were there any documents	<ul> <li>19 from the collective bargaining agreement.</li> <li>20 Q That's not my question. Has</li> <li>21 Central Parking made any contributions</li> </ul>
8 performing their audit that identified 9 employees as foremen? 0 A Possibly. 1 Q Were there any documents 2 given to the auditors during the audit	19 from the collective bargaining agreement. 20 Q That's not my question. Has 21 Central Parking made any contributions 22 for anybody for whom documentation
8 performing their audit that identified 9 employees as foremen? 10 A Possibly. 11 Q Were there any documents 12 given to the auditors during the audit 13 that identified employees as supervisors?	19 from the collective bargaining agreement. 20 Q That's not my question. Has 21 Central Parking made any contributions

20 e

21 v

23 tl

24 v

	D 40
1 SONYA MITCHELL	Page 42 Page 1 SONYA MITCHELL
2 Parking review the findings?	2 Q Did he look at the findings?
3 A No.	3 A Yeah. I gave him a copy. I
4 Q Did you discuss the findings	4 would think he did.
5 with anybody else?	5 Q Did he express to you any
6 A Yes.	6 direction on what you should do?
7 MR. ROWE: Which findings?	7 A No, he didn't.
8 You're talking about the auditor's	8 Q Did he express to you any
9 findings?	9 opinion as to the validity of the
10 MS. BRUNO: The auditor's	10 findings?
11 findings.	11 A No, he didn't.
12 Q By findings, I mean the	12 Q. Who was the human resources
13 auditor's reports that were sent to you	13 manager you spoke with?
14 as a determination of what amounts the	14 A Edy Albizu.
15 funds believed were due.	15 Q When did you speak with her?
16 A Okay.	16 A I don't know what the date
17 Q The term of art I'm going to	17 is. I don't know what date. I think we
18 use is findings. Did you discuss the	18 may have had a couple of conversations as
19 findings with anybody else at Central	19 well.
20 Parking with the exception of your	20 Q What was the sum and
21 attorney?	21 substance of those conversations?
22 A Yes.	22 A Probably just talking about
23 Q Who did you speak with?	23 any pending audits we had, where we stood
24 A I've spoken with my human	24 on them.
25 resources manager. I've spoken with my	25 Q Did you have any discussions
	age 43 Page 45
1 SONYA MITCHELL	1 SONYA MITCHELL
2 then boss, who was Pete Buscher.	2 with her on this specific audit, the 2004
3 Q Anyone else?	3 New York?
4 A I believe that's it, and my	4 A Yes.
5 attorney, the attorney in our corporate	5 Q What was the sum and
6 office as well.	6 substance of discussions strictly on that
7 Q Who was the attorney in the	7 audit?
8 corporate office?	8 A I can't say verbatim what it
9 A Chris Katl.	9 was, probably it was either the earlier
MS. BRUNO: Is he co-counsel	10 part of the year or last year sometime.
11 with you?	11 Q What was the sum and
12 MR. ROWE: No.	12 substance? What did you discuss?
13 Q When did you speak with	13 A Specifically the audit
14 Mr. Buscher?	14 findings here.
15 A I've probably had several	15 Q Did she review the findings?
16 conversations with him about the audit,	16 A No, she didn't.
17 probably initially when they first came	17 Q Did you express to her that
18 in. And he also knows what the	18 you believed that not all the findings
19 findings or knew he's no longer	19 were valid?
	20 A That I believed they were
-	
20 employed what the findings were and	1
20 employed what the findings were and 21 what my response were to those findings.	21 valid?
20 employed what the findings were and 21 what my response were to those findings. 22 Q Did you tell Mr. Buscher	21 valid? 22 Q That not all of them were
20 employed what the findings were and 21 what my response were to those findings. 22 Q Did you tell Mr. Buscher 23 that you believed some of the findings	21 valid? 22 Q That not all of them were 23 valid.
20 employed what the findings were and 21 what my response were to those findings. 22 Q Did you tell Mr. Buscher	21 valid? 22 Q That not all of them were

·	Page 48
Page 46	SONYA MITCHELL
AUTCURIT.	Topical Parking paid and
SONYA MITCHELL	u diana for any area Hallagore
direction or anything like that?	4 A Absolutely not.
	- A Luci Darking Daid will
O Did she express any opinion	5 Q Has Central I and F 6 contributions for any area managers who
regarding that?	6 contributions for any distributions for any distribution for any distribution for any distributions for any distributions for any
· A No.	6 contributions for any discipline or effect changes in 8 promote, discipline or effect changes in
a this was just an	8 promote, discipline of circuit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l o an amployee's status:
	10 A They don't call
a . I - moid?	11 Q Why not?
. Comptillio UL Mio -	12 A Because an area manage
a way did you speak will	1 lange
== 40	13 effectuate change.  14 Q What changes can an area
3 Mr. Katl? A Because he knows of any	1.1
that we have William the	1 They can muy they
15 legal issues that we have 16 legal issues that we have 16 city. He's our corporate counsel.	1 0 7 44 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
16 city. He's our corporate com-	
	tions for any supervisors,
18 to tell him this was there of day, 19 actually discuss the findings with him?	NOLIO III I III
19 actually discuss the man	and why not?
20 A No. MR. ROWE: Objection. MS. BRUNO: On the grounds	for in not included in my data.
MS. BRUNO: On the gard	23 supervisor is not into a supervisor?
	- I Derking known as a supervisor
MR. ROWE: Altornoy	25 Central Parking lare
::1099	SONYA MITCHELL
	SONYA WITTO
SONYA MITCHELL	2 A We have supervisors. 3 O Then what would they be
MC BRINO: He's not	3 Q Then what would they
the a her or Central.	4 listed in your data as?  4 listed in your data as?  I would hope
. A D/ W/E 111 6VMB	
Don't ask the question.	5 A A supervisor 6 that they would be listed as a
	7 supervisor.
6 Q Is there anyone or 7 you discussed your review with? 7 you discussed your review with?	
7 you discussed your 18 A My staff, the auditors.	o generalisor is not in your data, units
T II am comebody to whom	
1 / La report of You'r	10 misunderstood you.  11 A I contribute for attendants,
9 Q Is there someoed to you're 10 you're supposed to report or you're 11 supposed to report your analysis of the	foremen.
11 supposed to report y	12 cashiers, foreners.  13 O I understand that. So you
12 findings? is there what you thought of the	LIS Companyone Who is an
13 to hear from you what you the	14 do not of a cashier or a foreman:
14 findings that you had to	15 an attendant, a cashed
15 the organization:	16 A Well, the maintenance staff; but, no,
	17 contract has manner
The Central Fairmbran	18 I don't.
18 contributions for any supervisory	20 of those four job descriptions, you do
19 employees?	20 of those four job description?
19 employees? 20 A Contributions are paid based	21 not contribute to mact
1-h gode	22 A That's correct. 23 Q And you have job codes for
21 upon job code.  22 Q I'm going to ask you to	23 Q And you have job cours
22 Q Thi going to a ves or no. To	four positions?
22 Q I'm going to day y 23 please answer it with a yes or no. To	24 those four positions? 25 A Yes, I do.

Page 50 Page 52 1 SONYA MITCHELL 1 SONYA MITCHELL 2 2 Would people in those Α 3 positions ever have a job code or a job 3 Q Has Central Parking paid any 4 description that lists them as something portion of the findings? 5 else? 5 Not as of yet. It's still Α It shouldn't. 6 Α 6 open. 7 Q So if there is a job code or 7 Q So does that mean that 8 a job description listing somebody as a 8 Central Parking will not pay any portion 9 manager or assistant manager, they would 9 of the findings until the entirety of the 10 not be performing the work of any of 10 findings have been determined? 11 those four jobs that you just said you Well, we do audits all the 12 contribute for? 12 time. And generally what happens is when 13 A They shouldn't be, no. 13 you respond to the initial, the auditors 14 O I'm just going to go back --14 will review and determine whether or not 15 and I apologize, I may have asked this 15 they agree with our response to the 16 already -- you said there were foremen 16 audit, and they will send us a final 17 who are also considered managers? 17 letter. 18 They may call them -- they 18 Is the reason you have not 19 may be the lead person. They may call 19 paid is because you have not received a 20 them managers within the facility, within 20 final letter from the auditors? 21 the location. 21 Final audit findings letter 22 Q What would their job code 22 or communication. 23 indicate? 23 Is it your contention that 24 Α A foreman would be a 24 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, which are the 25 foreman. 25 letters from the fund, saying final Page 51 Page 53 1 SONYA MITCHELL SONYA MITCHELL 2 Q The job code would indicate 2 results of the payroll inspection, the 3 a foreman? 3 findings is not, in fact, the final audit 4 4 letter that you would need to pay a Α Yes. 5 Have you determined whether 5 portion of the findings? 6 any of the findings are valid, any of the No, because this is the 7 individual findings are valid for any of 7 initial. This is what their findings 8 the employees? 8 are, and then I responded to it. Α Yes, there were some So if the auditors sent you 10 findings. 10 a letter tomorrow, saying this is our 11 Have you told any 11 final findings, that's what you would 12 representative, employee, manager, owner 12 need in order to pay --13 or principal of Central Parking that you 13 A If I agreed with it, yes. 14 determined that certain of the findings 14 Q If you didn't agree with the 15 are valid? 15 whole thing, then you would pay no 16 Α I shared that with my 16 portion of it? 17 manager. 17 A Then I would let them know 18 Did you discuss with your 18 what I didn't agree to. 19 manager whether to pay that portion of 19 If you received a final 20 the findings? 20 letter and you agreed with a portion of 21 A No. The audit was still 21 it and disagreed with a portion of it, 22 open. 22 would you pay the portion that you agreed 23 So the manager did not 23 to, that you agreed with? 24 direct you to pay or to not pay that I would usually wait until 25 portion of the findings at this time? 25 there is a determination because at some

: 49

Page 54	ι.	Page 56
1 SONYA MITCHELL	1	SONYA MITCHELL
2 point, we're either going to agree	2	MS. BRUNO: Can you mark
3 we're going to agree to something at that	3	this, please?
4 point.	4	(The above-referred-to
5 Q So in other words, if I'm	5	document was marked as Plaintiffs'
6 understanding you correctly, if you	6	Exhibit 5 for identification, as of
7 agreed with some of the findings and	7	this date.)
8 disagreed with others, you would not pay	8	Q I'm going to give you
9 the portion with which you agreed until		Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5, which is
10 the entire thing was worked out?		Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' first
11 A I don't generally do it that	11	interrogatories and request for
12 way. They have many other audits,	12	production of documents and Defendants'
13 further funds that we do, and it's not a	13	supplemental response to Plaintiffs'
14 practice.	14	first interrogatories for production of
15 Q Do you have the final	15	documents with a stack of documents. You
16 authority to determine which findings	₹	can open it and take it out of order if
17 will and will not be paid?		you want to look through.
18 A Well, it's based upon my	18	A Okay.
	19	Q Have you seen these
<ul><li>19 response to the audit.</li><li>20 Q Is there anybody from whom</li></ul>		documents before?
	21	A Yes.
21 you must get approval?	22	Q Can you tell me what you
22 A No.	ŧ.	believe them to be?
23 Q So you can decide, okay,	24	A Well, it appears that these
24 this is payable, this is not, we and the		are my responses to the audit findings,
25 auditors have reached an agreement and on	╄	
Page 55	ί.	Page 57
1 SONYA MITCHELL	1	SONYA MITCHELL
2 your say so, that can be paid?	2	backup.
3 A Yes. I'm accurate or try my	3	Q The documents on top of
4 best to be accurate in my findings.		them, which are the Defendants' responses
5 Q Does anybody else have the	1	to Plaintiffs' interrogatories, have you
6 authority to do this, anybody else at	6	seen those before?
7 Central Parking?	7	A Yes. I believe I have seen
8 A Yes, certainly.	8	this one.
9 Q Who else would have that	9	Q The stack of documents that
10 authority?		you're holding that you said were your
11 A My boss would have the		responses were produced in response to
12 authority.	12	Plaintiffs' request for documents. Were
13 Q Who is your boss?	13	you asked to assemble documents in order
14 A Currently, it would be under		to respond to those requests?
15 Hector Chevalier.	15	A To assemble the documents to
16 Q Have you discussed the	16	respond to?
17 findings with him?	17	Q Or to provide documents that
17 Indungs with him: 18 A I did initially. I may have	i .	would respond to the interrogatories.
19 had a short conversation with him but	19	A I'm sure I was asked to
	1	provide some documents. I may have
20 nothing no. 21 O So he's left the discretion	4	provided more.
	22	Q And these are documents
22 to you?		that, to your knowledge, you provided to
23 A Yes.		respond to the requests?
<ul><li>Q Anyone else?</li><li>A Just my previous boss.</li></ul>	25	A Yes. They look like my
25 A Just my previous boss.	1. Z., J	/1 1 U.S. 1 HOY 100K ##KV ### ]

516-608-2400